UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.  | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |  |
|--|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/690,451   | 10/21/2003  | Michael C. Sherman   | 4002-3274           | 3728             |  |
| 30565 7590 08/06/2008 WOODARD, EMHARDT, MORIARTY, MCNETT & HENRY LLP 111 MONUMENT CIRCLE, SUITE 3700 INDIANA POLIS, IN 46204, 5127 |             |                      | EXAMINER            |                  |  |
|  |             |                      | SWIGER III, JAMES L |                  |  |
| INDIANAPOLIS, IN 46204-5137  |             |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |  |
|  |             | 3733                 |                     |                  |  |
|  |             |                      |                     |                  |  |
|  |             |                      | MAIL DATE           | DELIVERY MODE    |  |
|  |             |                      | 08/06/2008          | PAPER            |  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s)   |  |
|-----------------|----------------|--|
| 10/690,451      | SHERMAN ET AL. |  |
| Examiner        | Art Unit       |  |
|                 |                |  |

|  | JAMES L. SWIGER III  | 3733  |                               |  |  |  |
|--|--|---|-------------------------------|--|--|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe  | ears on the cover sheet with the c   | orrespondence add   | ress                          |  |  |  |
| THE REPLY FILED <u>09 June 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APF  | PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A   | LLOWANCE.   |                               |  |  |  |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Application (RCE) in compliance with 37 Comperiods:  | the same day as filing a Notice of A<br>replies: (1) an amendment, affidavited<br>al (with appeal fee) in compliance | Appeal. To avoid abar<br>., or other evidence, w<br>with 37 CFR 41.31; or | hich places the (3) a Request |  |  |  |
| a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date  | of the final rejection.  |   |                               |  |  |  |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO  |  |   |                               |  |  |  |
| MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).   |  |   |                               |  |  |  |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). |  |   |                               |  |  |  |
| NOTICE OF APPEAL  2. ☐ The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp   | diance with 37 CEP 41 37 must be t   | iled within two month   | e of the date of              |  |  |  |
| filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte<br>Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w   | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to  | avoid dismissal of the  |                               |  |  |  |
| AMENDMENTS   |  |   |                               |  |  |  |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because  (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);  |  |   |                               |  |  |  |
| (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for   |  |   |                               |  |  |  |
| appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a  |  | cted claims.  |                               |  |  |  |
| NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).   |  | mpliant Amandment (   | DTOL 224)                     |  |  |  |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).  5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):   |  |   |                               |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>Applicants reply has overcome the following rejection(s)</li> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>  |  | imely filed amendmer  | nt canceling the              |  |  |  |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:  |  | be entered and an e   | xplanation of                 |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) objected to:  |  |   |                               |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  |  |   |                               |  |  |  |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE  |  |   |                               |  |  |  |
| 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).   |  |   |                               |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing<br/>entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o<br/>showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>   | vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea  | l and/or appellant fail   | s to provide a                |  |  |  |
| 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER  | n of the status of the claims after er   | ntry is below or attach   | ed.                           |  |  |  |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:  Applicant's arguments filed 6/9/2008 have been considered but are not deemd persuasive. The final rejection mailed on 4/8/2008 stands  |  |   |                               |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s).</li> <li>13. ☐ Other:</li> </ul>  | (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)  |   |                               |  |  |  |
| /Eduardo C. Dobort/  |  |   |                               |  |  |  |
| /Eduardo C. Robert/<br>Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3733  | /JAMES L SWIGER/<br>Examiner, Art Unit 3733  |   |                               |  |  |  |